

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/800,212	03/06/2001	Jeffrey K. Lange	1819/100121 4984	
7590 07/29/2004			EXAMINER	
Gunnar G. Leinberg NIXON PEABODY LLP Clinton Square P.O. Box 31051 Rochester, NY 14603			LEWIS, MICHAEL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2655	
			DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/800,212	LANGE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	M. Lewis	2652
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-11,13-19 and 21-24 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-11,13-19 and 21-24 is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11).	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	0 □ I 1	(DTO 442)
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail_Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 2655

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 6, 8, 7, 9, 10,14, 15, 17,18 & 22 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alshawi (U.S. Patent 581,666) in view of Throckmorton et al. (US 5818441).

Regarding claims 1, 9 & 17, Alshawi discloses method/computer readable medium having stored instructions with at least one processor for providing real-time subtitles [captioning] in an AV signal. The disclosure includes the automatic

Art Unit: 2655

conversion of an audio [including speech] signal in the AV signal. Alshawi describes in Fig 1., a video-based communications device (5,8). The device provides segmentation of an AV signal (16) and the further processing of the audio [speech] portion of the signal to provide continuous speech-to-subtitles [speech-to-text] translation (19,21,22) that has the ability to overlay and display text subtitles onto AV signal in real-time [captioning](26). Alshawi does not disclose synchronizing the caption data with one or more cues in the AV signal. However, Throckmorton et al. teach that a data synchronizing sub-system whose function is to synchronize the primary data stream generated by sub-system 10 with specific associated data. The input to data synchronizing sub-system 20 is scene information from the primary data stream in the form of timecodes and time durations [cues], and data from associated data generator sub-system 16. It creates a so called script for the delivery and display of associated data at specific points in time. The ability to synchronize the associated data with the primary has many benefits including helping the hearing impaired viewers to better understand AV content.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify Alshawi with the synchronization of the caption data with one or more cues in the AV signal as taught by Throckmorton et al. since it would have enhanced the viewing experience of the hearing impaired.

Art Unit: 2655

Regarding claims 2,10 and 18, Alshawi discloses a method and apparatus that captures an AV signal and further provides the audio [speech] portion of the signal for conversion to text. Alshawi describes a videophone receiver that has an input signal that comprises a camera that represents the visual component of the communication and a microphone that represents the audio component of the signal that have been encoded. (Col 2, 33 – 40). In addition, Alshawi describes an audio/video decoder that accepts an AV input and separates the signal into two entities, video signal and audio signal (Col 2, 51 – 55).

Regarding claims 6, 14 and 22, Alshawi discloses a display that shows at least the video and text [caption] data. Alshawi describes simultaneously displaying the sending party's video overlaid with real-time subtitles [caption] that translates the sender's speech (Col 3, 26 – 29).

Regarding claim 7 and 23, Alshawi discloses an integrated method and apparatus for providing real-time subtitles [captioning] in an AV signal. The disclosure includes the automatic conversion of an audio [including speech] signal in the AV signal to text [caption] data and associating the audio and text [caption] data at a time that corresponds to the video signal wherein the signal combination processing system synchronizes the caption data with one or more cues in the AV signal. Alshawi describes in Fig 1., a video-based communications device (5,8). The device provides segmentation of an AV signal

**Art Unit: 2655** 

(16) and the further processing of the audio [speech] portion of the signal to provide continuous speech-to-subtitles [speech-to-text] translation (19,21,22) that has the ability to overlay and display text subtitles onto AV signal in real-time [captioning](26).

Regarding claims 8, 15 and 24, Alshawi discloses a method and apparatus for translating speech and caption into a second language. Alshawi describes an embodiment where the textual signal is translated into a target language that is then overlaid onto the video signal as real-time subtitles [caption] (Col 3, 46).

1. Claims 5, 13 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alshawi (U.S. Patent 581,666) in view of Throckmorton et al. (US 5818441) as applied to claims 1, 9 & 17 above, and further in view of Angell et al. (US6513003)...

Regarding claim 5,13 and 21, Alshawi does not show the embedding [encoding] of the text [caption] data within the AV signal. Instead, a subtitle generator (24,Fig.1) is used to overlay text data onto the AV signal. However, Angell et al. teach the embedding [encoding] of the text [caption] data within the AV signal (Fig 1(108, 140); Col 4, Line 55 – Col 5, Line 17). Embedding of text signal by

Art Unit: 2655

synchronizing and encoding the text with the audio video signal allows the composite signal to be played on a conventional display device at any location.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the combination of Alshawi and Throckmorton by embedding [associating] text data with AV signal data using a database as taught by Angell et al. will improve on the real time dissemination of the composite audio video signal with closed caption.

Claims 3, 11 & 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alshawi (U.S. Patent 581,666) in view of as applied to claims 1, 9, 17 above.
 Throckmorton et al. (US 5818441) and further in view of Bozdagi et al. (U.S. Patent 6647535).

Regarding claims 3, 11 and 19, the combination of Alshawi and Throckmorton et al. discloses an integrated method and apparatus for providing real-time subtitles [captioning] in an AV signal. The disclosure includes the automatic conversion of an audio [including speech] signal in the AV signal to text [caption] data and associating the audio and text [caption] data at a time that corresponds to the video signal. Alshawi describes in Fig 1., a video-based communications device (5,8). The device provides segmentation of an AV signal (16) and the further

Art Unit: 2655

processing of the audio [speech] portion of the signal to provide continuous speech-to-subtitles [speech-to-text] translation (19,21,22) that has the ability to overlay and display text subtitles onto AV signal in real-time [captioning](26).

Alshawi does not show a method of converting the audio portion of the signal to text data that checks whether the amount of caption data is greater than a threshold amount or an expiration time before the process of association occurs. Bozdagi et al. show a system and method to enable real-time and near real-time storyboarding on the world wide web. Bozagi et al. teach the use of processing a multimedia document which summarizes the original video by placing representative static images and audio converted to text into a web document for viewing (Col 2, 5). In addition, the device can control the number of representative images transferred to be displayed by the use of a threshold (Col5, Line45-55). Also, time is used to check the change in intensity between representative images (Col 6, 7). This gives the advantage of greater flexibility in viewing multimedia and reduces on the overall demand for bandwidth.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Alshawi and Throckmorton et al. by the use of parameters such as caption amount and time threshold as taught by Bozagi et al. that show the benefits of the association of text and images for multimedia documents which may include AV signals.

Art Unit: 2655

4. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alshawi (U.S. Patent 5815196) in view of Throckmorton et al. (5818441) and in further view of Kirkland et al. (U.S. Patent 5900908).

Regarding claim 16, the combination of Alshawi and Throckmorton et al. discloses an integrated method and apparatus for providing real-time subtitles [captioning] in an AV signal. The disclosure includes the automatic conversion of an audio [including speech] signal in the AV signal to text [caption] data and associating the audio and text [caption] data at a time that corresponds to the video signal. The combination of Alshawi and Throckmorton et al. do not show portability and the utilization of the device in the classroom. However, Kirkland teaches a method of providing encoding caption data into the program signal. The apparatus receives a television signal with various description data including caption data (Col 9, 15). The device itself is a set-top box that can be co-located with a television [portable] and which can be used for live performances, classrooms and other types of presentations (Col 3,29 and Col10, 60). Devices with such features help the handicap or hearing impaired by providing portable text or audio services.

Art Unit: 2655

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to further modify the combination of Alshawi and Throckmorton et al. by making it portable for use in such venues as a classroom taught by Kirkland in order to improve on the capability of the captioning system for use in the classroom.

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 -3, 5 -11,13 - 19 & 21 -24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2655

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Lewis A Michael Examiner Art Unit 2655

Mal

7/17/2004

W. R. YOUNG

Page 10

PRIMARY EXAMINER